THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.21719 OF 2005

DATED:5.10.2005

Between:

P. Alivelu and others

…Petitioners

And

The Government of Andhra Pradesh

Rep. by its Secretary, Revenue Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad and others

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.21719 OF 2005

ORDER:

The petitioners claimed to be purchasers of house sites in S.Nos.141/1, 2 and 3 of Saidabad Village under notarized sale deeds. They also alleged that they are in possession of the land. Fourth respondent initiated action for recovery of the property claiming it to be Government land and filed a land grabbing case being L.G.C.No.50 of 1988 on the file of the Special Court under A.P.Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982. It appears, the Special Court allowed the said L.G.C. holding that the land is Government land. Questioning the same, writ petitions, being W.P.Nos.7912 of 1989 and 3651 of 1990 were filed. Those Writ Petitions were allowed holding that the petitioners therein are not land grabbers. The petitioners also state that the judgment of this Court was also confirmed by the Supreme Court.

Be that as it is, the petitioners, purporting to be under G.O.Ms.No.508 dt.20.10.1995, made applications on 5.11.2004 and 24.2.2005 to the District Collector, second respondent herein, for regularization of the plots, which are allegedly in their possession. It is now alleged that their applications are not disposed of. Therefore, petitioners seek a direction to dispose of their applications.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General).

Learned Assistant Government Pleader after getting instructions from fourth respondent submits that the petitioners filed application for regularization of sites admeasuring 200 Sq.yards each located at Subramanyam Nagar Colony, Saidabad and after conducting enquiry by the Mandal Surveyor, it was found that the petitioners are not in physical possession of the premises for more than ten years and therefore the request of the petitioners cannot be considered in accordance with G.O.Ms.No.508, dt.20.10.1995. Now that enquiry is already conducted, the petitioners may be communicated with an order to that effect. Except to that extent, no relief can be granted to petitioners in this Writ Petition.

Therefore, this Writ Petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to pass appropriate orders on the representation made by the petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

5.10.2005

bnr